

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/932,910	08/20/2001	Gregory T. Whiteker	1998U020AD1.US	9327	
25959	7590 07/14/2003				
	N TÉCHNOLOGIES I	EXAMINER			
HOUSTON,	ELIPE, SUITE 1950 TX 77056		PASTERCZYK, JAMES W		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1755	7	
			DATE MAILED: 07/14/2003	.	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 09/932,910

Applicant(s)

Office Action Summary

Whiteker et al.

Examiner

J. Pasterczyk

Art Unit **1755**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the	cover she	et with	the correspondence address		
Period 1	for Reply						
THE N	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138 (a). In r	_					
mailing	date of this communication.				· ·		
	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a		•	•			
	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the						
earned	petent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			,	,		
Status 1) 🔀	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>Jun 24, 26</i>	003					
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This acti						
3) 🗆							
Disposi	tion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-36</u>				is/are pending in the application.		
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)			_	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)				is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-36</u>				is/are rejected.		
7) 🗌	Claim(s)				is/are objected to.		
8) 🗌	Claims		are	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	ition Papers						
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗌	accepted	or b)[\square objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the de	rawing	(s) be held	d in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		is:	a) 🗌 a	approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this	Office acti	ion.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority	under 35	U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e beer	ı received	1 .			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e beer	ı received	l in App	olication No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	au (PC	T Rule 17	7.2(a)).	<u>-</u>		
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the		•				
14) 📙	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	-	-				
a) L							
15) X	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priorit	y under 3	35 U.S.	C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachm		🗖 .		(07/			
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)				0-413) Paper No(s)		
_	trice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Neview (PTO-948) formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	5) 1 6) (mai raten	t Application (PTO-152)		
"" بــا		٠, ١, ١,					

Art Unit: 1755

- 1. This Office action is in response to the amendment filed 6/24/03 and refers to the rejection mailed 2/26/03.
- 2. Claims 1-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, 1. 3, end the line with a comma; in the next line change the semicolon to --being--. On the following page delete the first line; in the third line change "may be" to --are--; in 1. 4 change "may be" to --is--; it is not clear why R¹ is not one of the groups that may contain a heteroatom; nevertheless, put a comma after R². In 1. 5 insert --being-- before "selected". In 1. 7, delete the lead comma, change the second comma to --and--, insert --and-- before "any", change each "may" to --is--, and insert --directly-- after "bound". In 1. 13-14, the R group may not be R³, hence the R group may not be any of R¹ to R⁵ as there recited. In 1. 17 delete "the". In 1. 27 change "than" to --then-- and "metal carbon" to --ipso carbon--.

In claim 3, reciting the transition metal as being a group 4 metal broadens the scope of protection since claim 1 only recites the metal may be Hf or Zr from group 4 but not Ti. Also, "the transition metal" now lacks antecedent basis; it is suggested that phrase be replaced with --M--.

In claim 4 replace "the transition metal" with --M--.

In claim 5, 1. 2, delete "transition".

Art Unit: 1755

In claim 7, l. 1, delete "either"; in l. 2 change "or" to a comma and insert a comma after "activator".

In claim 8, "Ziegler-Natta catalyst" is such a broad term, nowadays comprising both titanium halide/aluminum alkyl mixtures as well as metallocene/alumoxane mixtures, that it has lost any specific meaning, hence the claim is vague and indefinite.

In claim 11, 1. 4, insert --a-- before "trialkyl borane" since this is only a generic compound.

In claim 12, second page, text 1. 2, insert commas after R¹ and R², and change "is" to --are--; in 1. 3, delete the comma after "hydrogen", and it is not clear why R¹ is not one of the groups that contain a heteroatom; in 1. 4 insert --being-- before "selected"; in 1. 6 delete the comma beginning the line, insert --and-- before "tellurium", insert --and-- before "any", delete "be", and insert --directly-- after "bound". In 1. 12, the bond from Q to the R group cannot be to any of the R groups since R³ does not contain a heteroatom, hence the R groups should be recited as specifically R^{1,2,4} or ⁵.

In claim 14, Q cannot be a bond to any of R^{1-5} since it broadens the claim as claim 12 does not permit a bond to R^3 .

In claim 21, change "have formed" to --form--.

In claim 22, change "have formed a multi-ring system" to --form a fused-ring system--.

Claim 23 broadens claim 12 from which it depends since Ti is not a metal recited as being M in claim 12.

Art Unit: 1755

In claim 29, l. 1, delete "either"; in l. 2 change "or" to a comma, change the second "the" to --their--, and delete "thereof"; in l. 3 change the semicolon after "talc" to a comma.

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1, 12, 16-18, 20, 26 and 35 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Bell as cited in and for the reasons of record given in paragraph 4 of the first Office action.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 12, 13, 16-18 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Basset as cited in and for the reasons of record given in paragraph 5 of the first Office action.
- 6. Claims 1-4 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Katayama as cited in and for the reasons of record given in paragraph 7 of the previous Office action.
- 7. Claims 1-3, 12, 13, 16, 23, 24 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Coleman as cited in and for the reasons of record given in paragraph 8 of the first Office action.
- 8. Applicant's arguments filed 6/24/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/932910 Page 5

Art Unit: 1755

Applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.

Applicant's arguments do not comply with 37 CFR 1.111(c) because they do not clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. Further, they do not show how the amendments avoid such references or objections.

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/932910

Art Unit: 1755

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to J. Pasterczyk whose telephone number is (703) 308-3497. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Mark Bell, can be reached on (703) 308-3823. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310 for normal faxes, 872-9311 for after

final faxes.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Mark L. Bell

Page 6

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1700

J. Pasterczyk

AU 1755

7/11/03